

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/424,431	03/16/2000	JOHN W WONG	287300022USA	7974	
75	90 09/08/2003				
BRINKS, HOFER, GILSON & LIONE			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1039 CHICAGO, IL			MENDOZA, MICHAEL G		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3761		
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003	24	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*•	· ·		\wedge
		Application N .	Applicant(s)
		09/424,431	WONG, JOHN W
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Michael G. Mendoza	3761
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	imely filed nys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08.	July 2003 .	
2a)⊠	<u> </u>	nis action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow		prosecution as to the merits is
•	closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 15 is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>15</u> is/are rejected.		•
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers	,	
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	,
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		roved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•	
,—	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document		•
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) 🖂 🗸	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).
	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application has been re	eceived.
Attachmer			,
1)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/424,431 Page 2

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 8 July 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the combined references do not teach an abort switch adapted to both halt an apparatus for delivering radiation therapy and open a closed one of a first and second valves, and that Anderson is unrelated to Rienmueller/Nord. Anderson teaches a kill switch to allow termination of radiation therapy. Rienmueller et al. teaches interruption of therapy if shortness of breath occurs. Rienmueller et al. teaches a normally open valve that is closed during radiation therapy. Therapy is only delivered when the valve is closed. If therapy is aborted the closed valve would return to the normally open position. Therefore, the kill switch of Anderson; which stops therapy if correct parameters are not optimum or if shortness of breath occurs as taught be Rienmueller, would indirectly/inherently open the valves taught by Rienmueller/Nord. Furthermore, the Anderson reference is related to Rienmueller/Nord in the art of radiation therapy.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/424,431

Art Unit: 3761

3. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Rienmueller et al. 5067494 in view of Nord 5915381 in further view of Anderson et al.

6436127.

4. Rienmueller et al. teaches an apparatus for suspending ventilation in a patient

and delivering radiation therapy to the patient during suspended ventilation, the

apparatus comprising; an apparatus 8 for identifying a specific air flow direction and

lung volume of the patient (col. 2, lines 3-4); an apparatus 14 for suspending patient

ventilation at the specific air flow direction and lung volume (col. 2, lines 12-19); and an

apparatus 1 for administering radiation therapy during suspension of patient ventilation

(col. 1, lines 63-65). It should be noted that Rienmueller et al. fails to teach the

ventilator assembly having a first selectively operable valve for inhalation control and a

second selectively operable valve for exhalation control.

Nord teaches an apparatus with a common first selectively operable valve for

inhalation control and a second selectively operable valve for exhalation control for

controlling inhalation of a breathing gas and exhalation of expired gases. Therefore it

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of

Rienmueller et al. to include the valves of Nord for allowing a breathing gas to be

carried to the patient (col. 2, lines 49-65) for ventilation of patients with diseased or

damaged lungs (col. 1, lines 25-26).

It should also be noted that Rienmueller/Nord fails to specifically teach an abort

switch adapted to halt the apparatus and open closed one of the first and second

selectively operable valves.

Page 4 Application/Control Number: 09/424,431

Art Unit: 3761

Anderson et al. teaches an apparatus for delivering radiation therapy with a common abort switch (col: 12, lines 3-5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Rienmueller/Nord to include the about switch of Anderson et al. to allow termination of radiation therapy if the correct parameters are not optimum (col. 13, lines 58-63). Furthermore, Rienmueller/Nord is fully capable of performing the same function (col. 4, lines 1-5).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time 5. policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE · MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/424,431

Art Unit: 3761

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael G. Mendoza whose telephone number is (703) 305-3285. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on (703) 308-1957. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 306-4520 for regular communications and (703) 306-4520 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

mm

MM August 28, 2003 GLENN K. DAWSON PRIMARY EXAMINER